


PEER REVIEW the Detroit way

Nancy Lozon, BS, CTR, Assistant Director
Metropolitan Detroit Cancer Surveillance System



Quality Quality Quality

- ▶ Always working on quality of our data
 - ▶ Submission Hospitals
 - ▶ MDCSS Abstractors
 - ▶ MDCSS Editors
- ▶ Quality Projects
 - ▶ Site 809
 - ▶ Histology 8000/3
 - ▶ Social Security number starts with 9 (other records might have social)
 - ▶ Kidney SSF 3
 - ▶ And many many many more



Quality on Submissions Hospital

- ▶ Current review in Detroit of Submissions Hospital
- ▶ Review of Resolve Patient Set tasks in SEER*DMS
- ▶ Hope was to identify sites that we could move the cases onto the Data base (SEER*DMS) without “human” intervention

- ▶ A SAS program was used to identify selected cases to review
- ▶ Review of Breast, colorectal, prostate, ovary, lymphoma and lung cases were reviewed

MDCSS Editors

- ▶ Every month, 8 - 10 cases are reviewed in SEER*DMS for each editor
- ▶ 10 - 12 cases on new editor, or editors with accuracy below 97%

- ▶ Excluded editors from Monthly QC -- if after 6 months editors receive 99% accuracy and above, then QC is done quarterly



MDCSS Editors

- ▶ Senior Editor responsible for QC on Editor(s)
- ▶ In SEER*DMS, use report 81B to identify cases completed for week, month
- ▶ Manually select cases
 - ▶ Problems with this, don't know if only HL7 or Casefinding record was linked or if NM was linked,
 - ▶ If reviewing specific site, need to read down list to find site, hope Editor did that site during the week

2018QAStandards June 2018 (002) [Read-Only] - Excel

File Home Insert Page Layout Formulas Data Review View Tell me what you want to do... Kalka, Pamela Share

Clipboard Font Alignment Number Styles Cells Editing

N10

MDCSS Editing QA Review:

Reviewer	Analysis	Review dates:
Visual editing	Consolidation/mechanics	TNM STAGING
Cases reviewed	Cases reviewed	Cases reviewed
Cases with discrepancies	Cases with discrepancies	Cases with discrepancies
Data items / case	Number data items/case	Major staging data items
Total data items reviewed	Total data items reviewed	Minor staging data items
Total number discrepancies	Total number discrepancies	Major staging discrepancies
Overall discrepancy rate	Overall discrepancy rate	Minor staging discrepancies
Overall accuracy rate	Overall accuracy rate	Major staging accuracy rate
ACCURACY GOAL	ACCURACY GOAL	Minor staging accuracy rate
Data Item Results		Overall accuracy rate
		ACCURACY GOAL

Discrepancies			Discrepancies			Discrepancies	
Data Item	#	%	Data Item	#	%	Data Item	#
Race	0	0.0%	BRM	0	0.0%	Clinical T	0
Date of Diagnosis	0	0.0%	BRM date	0	0.0%	Clinical N	0
Primary Site	0	0.0%	HemoEndo	0	0.0%	Clinical M	0
Laterality	0	0.0%	HemoEndo date	0	0.0%	Clinical Descriptor	0
Diagnostic confirmation	0	0.0%	Other	0	0.0%	Clinical Stage Group *	0
Histology	0	0.0%	Other date	0	0.0%	Clinical Staged By	0
Behavior	0	0.0%	Reg LN Pos	0	0.0%	Pathologic T *	0
Tumor Grade	0	0.0%	Reg LN Examined	0	0.0%	Pathologic N *	0
						Pathologic M *	0

draft visual editing std

Ready



**KEEP
CALM
AND
LOVE
QUALITY**