

**SEER\*DMS MU2 Work Group  
Teleconference Summary  
January 23, 2020  
3:00 to 3:30 p.m. EDT**

Representatives from NCI, IMS, the Scientific Consulting Group, Inc. (SCG), and eight cancer registries participated in the SEER\*DMS MU2 Work Group (WG) conference call on January 23, 2020.

Participants included:

**REGISTRIES:**

Georgia	NCI: None
Idaho	
Iowa	IMS: Suzanne Adams, Linda Coyle
Louisiana (Brent Mumphrey, Chair)	
Minnesota	SCG: Kathy Brown-Huamani, rapporteur
New Jersey	
New York	
Utah	

**Action Items**

- Brent and Linda agreed to touch base regarding whether to have a meeting each month.
- Linda agreed have IMS work on the development of an AFL for examining CDAs that do not match a patient set. This work likely will occur during the summer of 2020.
- Brent agreed to investigate the status of MU3 data at the Louisiana registry and whether these data were submitted to IMS.
- The New Jersey registry representative agreed to send IMS the MU3 data that the registry had difficulty importing.

**Updates Regarding August Meeting Minutes**

**Brent Mumphrey**

IMS has completed planning and design work but has not yet implemented a method for reviewing CDAs. IMS' priority at this time is overhauling the SEER\*DMS interface. Adding functionality to create Patient Sets without CTCs is complicated but is on the list to accomplish in 2020. IMS will work on check boxes over the next 2 to 3 months.

Linda asked the registry members of the WG how they want to mine the MU data for new cases and when they plan to use those data. The Louisiana and Utah registries are using queries that examine CDAs that do not match a patient set. An AFL that performed this task would be useful to these and possibly other registries. Linda agreed to make the development of this AFL an IMS goal for the summer of 2020.

The Louisiana registry is using MU3 data and sending cases to IMS. The Utah registry sent MU3 data to IMS, IMS made changes, and the registry now is importing these data regularly.

**Flatiron Testing for MU3**

The Louisiana, New Jersey, and Utah registries are working with Flatiron Health, Inc., the largest oncology-focused electronic medical record provider, to test MU3 data. Flatiron MU3 files appear to have errors in at least one date field with the first date of service appearing to be the patient's date of birth. The

Utah registry submitted a Squish Issue 8099 regarding this problem. Flatiron also might not include progress text in the MU3 files.

Flatiron was considering submitting larger batches of cases less frequently (e.g., once a week). Flatiron has continued to send some duplicates that need to be resolved by registries. Participants would like Flatiron to increase the interval between submissions to somewhere between a month and 3 months to allow time for records for the same patient to be consolidated and minimize duplication. One registry requested that Flatiron submit cases monthly and Flatiron was not willing to agree to the registry's request.

### **Next Meeting**

Participants agreed to hold another SEER\*DMS MU2 WG meeting when IMS has more updates.